

## REMARKS


The amendments to the specification do not add new matter. Rather, the correct dependency or incorporate the text of previously cancelled claims from which they recited dependence. For example, claim 11 has been amended into independent form by incorporating the text of claims 6 and 10 from which it ultimately recited dependence. Claim 48 was amended to incorporate the text of claim 11 rather than claim 45. Claims 49-50 were amended to recite dependency from claim 48 rather than non-elected claim 45. Claim 87 was amended to elect only one of the recited species. Claim 89 has been amended to an embodiment of claim 11.

For all these reasons, the amendments to the claims do not add new matter.

Respectfully submitted,

**McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.**

By:

  
Donald J. Pochopien  
Registration No. 32,167  
Attorney for Applicants  
500 West Madison Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60661  
(312) 775-8133

Date: August 17, 2004

J:\open\DJP\Regeneration Technologies\USPTO\13980US03\Response to Restriction Requirement.doc